V

9-10

App. F. IX-4

Previous References
Mug 75-25-1-4

1929.
(Bombay)

File No. 40-29

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later References
Mug Dep no 166 of 29-30
L.R. 16-35 (1-6)
Mug 312-37 notes.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for November 1929

SUBJECT

Continuance of the minor Inams in the Sirsa Mauza, Jalahanka Village Bolur Taluq

---

No 972 dated 15.11.29 To the Bom. Govt.

Government may continue to hold the possession of the Inams so long as the village is under their management

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

NOTES. File No. 46-29

2

FILE HEADING.

Grt Recognizance of Service Inams in Sawalanga Yelahanka Village, Bcelur Taluk, belonging to Sri Vyasaraja Swami matt, Sosale

FROM

The Mysore Commissioner in Mysore

No. 1037/29-30 Dated 20/22 July 29 Received 23 July 29

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

He recommends the proposal of the Dy Comr Hassan to allow the holders of minor 'Sthala Inams in the Village to continue to enjoy them paying only Jodi as long as they regularly perform services, as the Sub Supt remarks that they are not Jodi Inams and have not fully asserted

NOTES AND ORDERS.

941/25/7

These Inams are allowed to the matt. for certain village services. They are not Jodi. So the Sub Supt. has fully asserted them. Now it is recommended that they have to be turned into 'Sthala' Inams - Submit for orders

B

LCHS

NOTES—First Sheet. 24/7

(2) The Dy Commr & Mr Assam Superintendent may perhaps be consulted

Rev Secy MWKRS 29/7/29 (3) Yes 29/7/29

SM7

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mun File No. 40 | App. F. III—2] of 192 9/

Serial No.

(4) (Inam Branch)

The Survey Supdt's opinion is already given on the Jodidar Faisal Patil (Flag B) He says that these are not Jari inams but only inams granted by Inamdars which cannot be recognised as such. As regards such inams it is stated that when the whole Jodi or Other inam village is resumed, minor inams granted by the Inamdar of the village to the grant & him by Govt, are not recognised. Such minor Inams cease with the termination of the Inam tenure of the village."

(vide f 231 para 2bb Rev Manl)

(5) The Inam Village in question is said to be under Govt management & is therefore continued as Inam. Accordingly the minor inams in the village have to continue as minor inams as heretofore. The Survey Supdt may be officially consulted in the matter. Submitted by

29-7-29 30

CSeq 31/7/29

'B' Yes Bk. 1.8.29

(7) Inamdar Patil complains of the Survey Supt. in Mysore for favour & an expression of his views.

By order Asst Sec to Govt 1/8/29

No. No. 18 2.8.29

SB. 138

92 Noto—Contn. Sheet 29.30

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29 Rr

5 up Lath Refer Cpl 2/8/29

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mucy. File No. 40 of 192 9

Serial No.

Seen and returned with compliments.

No 82 A 29-30.

8/10/29

(8) In this case it is seen that there were no Jodi Inams in the village. It seems, that the Inams have been granted by the Inamdars subject to the grant of the village so that all the holders have been in long possession. The Deputy Commissioner states that none of them has been resumed by the minor Inamdars and that the Inamdars themselves are still in possession and enjoyment.

(9) According to the standing orders (Para 2, Note 3, Rule 2) of the Inam Rules it is said that "Government recognise such persons only as are entitled to the privileges of an Inamdar that not of persons having mere proprietary rights as mokasi tenants or grantees from the Inamdars", the view taken by this Department already, is quite correct. viz., "that since there were no Jodi Inams the said Inams subsequently granted by the Inamdars and found recorded in the Jodidar patta of the village cannot be formally confirmed".

(10) But in this case I see that Government is conducting the management of the village in lieu of the Inamdar. If the Inamdar himself had been managing his village it would have been perfectly open to him to continue these minor Inams although they may not be formally confirmed in the Government accounts.

(11) There is apparently no reason why Government who are now managing the village should not similarly continue the holders of these minor Inams in possession so long as the village is under their management. But this is different from finally confirming the

A

and so long as the holders regularly perform the stipulated services in the village

Note—Contn Sheet 4

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-1-29

Serial No.

[illegible] ... done on a number of the [illegible] ... ment. ... Secretary orders and ... [illegible] ... Mineral Commissioner's decision ... dismissed to ... costs.

(12) In this case there is also ... Collection which the village is ... Vemaya Inam. ... right whatever to grant minor ...

D. Srinivasa Iyengar
Assistant Collector,
Mysore Revenue Survey.
4-10-1929.
Ldn.

12 OCT. 1929 No. 4652

Rec

(13) I agree with the above opinion of the Asst. Supt.

111

Fin, Rec. with enclosures.

Sd.
11/10.

15 I think the recommendation made by the A.S. at A supra in para 11 meets the requirements of the case and may be approved.

16
1st member

14/10

17 I agree. The Govt. and Min. Council Government can not be accepted. The Survey Supdt. should report to the certificate Inspector why the Pleasy Inam may be ...

2370

Why is this Devadayam being under Court Management

Note—Contn. Sheet 18

Miscellaneous Inquiries 20.10.29

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mwz File No. 46 | of 192 7

Serial No.

9

The Devadaya inam in question has come under Govt management in pursuance of G.O. No. 131-2/Mwz 78-25-4 dt 12.12.1928 directing the resumption of the management of the mat-properties within the State (vide enclosure para 17 of the Members minutes).

29.10.29.

5280/4/11/29

2643/4.11

for information

To 1 Sh. member,

30/10.

2/11

21

22 Dm/7

7/11

23

Draft sub for orders

5625/8/11

8/11 24 Issue

To

The Mwz Collr

No 972 dt 15-11-29

States that there is no objection to continue the holders of the minor inams in possession so long as they regularly perform the stipulated services in village

19 11 29

WD 01684—GPB—30,000—20-4-29

M. C. 15 J

- 2 JUL

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore,.....20th J. u..l..y..... *1929.*

22

No. 103 Re 1724
2430

Inam 40-29 1

FROM P. G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., P.L.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

SECRETARIAT
23 JUL 1929

18 113

To R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Financial Department.

SIR,

In forwarding herewith a copy of letter No.A3-634/28 dated 22nd June 1929, from the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District re the minor inams in Sarvamanya Yelahanka village, Belur Taluk belonging to the Sri Vyasarayaswami Matt, I have the honor to state that in this Sarvamanya village which has a Jamabadi of Rs.1650/-, an ----- extent of 112 acres and 15 guntas with an assessment of Rs.227-12-0 has, according to the Jodidar's faisal Patrika, been granted as --- service inams, by the Inamdar Sri Vyasarayaswami Mutt, the Jodi ---- payable thereon being Rs.58-3-1 as fixed by the Inamdar himself. There is no documentary evidence either with the service Inamholders or in Government records to show how and when these inam tenures --- were created.

When the Survey and Settlement was introduced in the village in the year 1927-28, the Revenue Survey Superintendent has remarked that in the absence of any documentary evidence these service inams which are granted by the Jodidar cannot be treated as having been recognized by Government; and as such full assessment on their minor inams should be recovered instead of Jodi. On the other hand, there minor inam holder represent that they are enjoying these inams from time ------ immemorial, paying only Jodi, that they are regularly rendering the services for which the inams were granted and that a levy of kandayam

at

at revised rates would work as a great hardship upon them. The Deputy Commissioner is of opinion that in view of their long enjoyment of these minor inams and of the fact that they are rendering services regularly, they may be allowed to enjoy the lands on payment of jodi as heretofore.

I beg to recommend that as proposed by the Deputy Commissioner the holders of these minor inams, of an extent of --- 112 acres-15 guntas, may be allowed to continue to enjoy them paying only Jodi so long as they regularly perform the stipulated services in the village.

The vernacular records and Jodidar faisal Patrika ----- accompany for reference and return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ra Narasimha Murthy

for MUZRAI COMMISSIONER.

(absent from station)

"

Letter No.A3-634-28 dated 22nd June 1929 from the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Re: Minor inams in Sarvamanya Yelahanka village Belur Taluk.

In forwarding herewith the Jodidar Faisal Patrika of Sarvamanya Yelahanka village, Halebid Hobli, Belur Taluk, I have the honor to state that the said village was endowed as sarvamanya inam to Sree Vyasarayaswami Matt at Sosale in the T.Naraipur Taluk. This Inam village came under Government management as per G.O.No.731-2/Muz. 78-25-4 dated 12th November 1925 (Muzrai Commissioner's No.385/25-26 dated 29-1-1926) and thereafter survey and settlement were introduced into the village and the revision settlement was given effect to from the vear 1927-28. As could be seen from the Jodidar Fisal Patrika an extent of 112 acres-15 guntas with an assessment of Rs.227-12-0 has been given as minor inams for the several services rendered to their village. The jodi payable on these minor inams as fixed by the -- holder of the inam village is Rs.58-3-1. There is no documentary evidence either with the minor inam holders or in Government records to show how and when these inams were created. But, nowever, it could be seen from the records that these minor inams are being ---- enjoyed from time immemorial by the respective holders rendering service prescribed therefor. These services are being performed even to-day.

This is a big Sarvamanya village with a jamabady of Rs.1650/- and the services of the kuluvadi and the like are very necessary for the proper administration of the village now under management. --- Devadaya inams are also equally important and their continuance as such is also desirable in the interests of the well-being of the village. The holders of other inams such as, Bandari, Washerman, Carpenter and Jangam Matt, though not recognised in sircar villages, are reported to be doing their services regularly and it is a point for consideration whether the character of these inams are to be - maintained as such or whether they are to be treated as ordinary -- kandayam paying lands.

The Superintendent Revenue Survey and Settlement, has stated in the Jodidar Fisal Patrika, that as all the inams mentioned therein are inams granted by the jodidar and not recognised by Government, it is not possible to confirm the inams as such at the time of settlement.

In pursuance thereof, the entire akar of these inam lands was -- brought on the Khoshwar of 1927-28 and action taken for the -- recovery of the revised assessment from the respective inam -- land holders. They all pray that the inam lands enjoyed by them for a long time may be confirmed to them on the rent which was being paid by them and plead their inability to pay at the -- revised rates. The villagers urge that as all the services for which inams have been granted are being rendered regularly, the inam lands should be continued to the respective holders on the former rent fixed by the jodidar. The Amildar is of opinion that it is necessary to recognise all the inams mentioned in the --- Fisal Patrik as services are being regularly performed. I am however of opinion that in view of long enjoyment of the inam -- lands by the respective holders and by virtue of these services being rendered even to-day, it is not equitable to convert the minor inams into kandayam paying lands and request that sanction of Government may finaly be obtained to recognise all the inams - mentioned in the Fisal Patrik on the former rent fixed by the --- Jodidar. None of these inam lands has been alienated and all of them are in the possession and enjoyment of the hakdars. The connected records are enclosed for favour of reference.

"C o p y"

For Muzrail Commissioner.

RG

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 972

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To

The Asstt. Comm. in Mysore

Date of issue 15-11-29

Signed by MW A.N.R

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

Sir

With reference to your letter no 1037/29-30 Dt. 20/22nd July 1929 recommending the proposal of the Dy. Comm. Hassan Dist. in the matter of Continuance of minor Inams in the Sarvamanya Yelahanka Village Belur Taluk, I am directed to state that there is apparently no reason why Govt. who are managing the village Shd. not similarly continue

over

The holders of these manors in any
possession so long as the Village
under their management and
long as the holders regularly
perform the stipulated services in
the Village

The Verdicts are returned
herewith

I have etc

A.D. Wilson
R. H. Gee

Wms
8/4

11 pays